1 2	ORRY P. KORB, County Counsel (S.B. #114399) MELISSA R. KINIYALOCTS, Deputy County COFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL		
3	70 West Hedding Street, East Wing, Ninth Floor San Jose, California 95110-1770		
4	Telephone: (408) 299-5900 Facsimile: (408) 292-7240		
5	Attorneys for Defendants		
6	SHERIFF LAURIE SMITH and COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA		
7			
8		DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (San Francisco)		
10			
11	TOM SCOCCA, MADISON SOCIETY, INC., and THE CALGUNS FOUNDATION, INC.,	No. CV11-01318 EMC	
12	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND ORDER CONTINUING JUNE 18, 2015 CASE	
13	V.	MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE	
14	SHERIFF LAURIE SMITH (In her individual		
15	and official capacity.), COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, and DOES 1 to 20,		
16	Defendants.		
17			
18	The parties, by and through their counsel of	f record, hereby stipulate as follows:	
19	1. On January 14, 2013, the Court issued an order staying this case until the Ninth Circuit		
20	rules on <i>Peruta v. County of San Diego</i> , Case No.:	10-56971 and Richards v. Prieto (Yolo County),	
21	Case No.: 11-16255. The Court previously contin	ued case management conferences in this action	
22	on the grounds that <i>Richards</i> and <i>Peruta</i> were still	pending.	
23	2. The Ninth Circuit is reviewing <i>Peruto</i>	a and Richards en banc, with oral argument	
24	scheduled for June 16, 2015.		
25	3. The parties agree that the June 18, 20	15 Case Management Conference should be	
26	continued while the Ninth Circuit considers <i>en banc</i> review in <i>Peruta</i> and <i>Richards</i> .		
27	//		
28	//		

Case3:11-cv-01318-EMC Document86 Filed06/03/15 Page2 of 2

1	I hereby attest that I have on file all h	olograph signatures for any signatures indicated by a
2	"conformed" signature (/S/) within this efiled document.	
3		ORRY P. KORB
4		COUNTY COUNSEL
5	Dated: June 3, 2015	By: /S/ MELISSA R. KINIYALOCTS
6		Deputy County Counsel
7		Attorneys for Defendants
8		SHERIFF LAURIE SMITH and COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA
9		
10		LAW OFFICES OF DONALD KILMER
11		
12	Dated: June 3, 2015	By: /S/ DONALD E.J. KILMER, JR., ESQ.
13		
14		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
15		
		ORDER
16	The June 18, 2015 Case Management	ORDER Conference is continued to
16 17		
16 17 18	Plaintiffs are directed to file notice with this	Conference is continued to
16 17 18 19	Plaintiffs are directed to file notice with this	Court within 5 court-days of the Ninth Circuit issuing
16 17 18 19 20	Plaintiffs are directed to file notice with this its final disposition in <i>Peruta v. County of San</i>	Court within 5 court-days of the Ninth Circuit issuing in Diego, Case No.: 10-56971 and Richards v. Prieto
116 117 118 119 220 221	Plaintiffs are directed to file notice with this its final disposition in <i>Peruta v. County of San</i>	Court within 5 court-days of the Ninth Circuit issuing
116 117 118 119 220 221	Plaintiffs are directed to file notice with this its final disposition in <i>Peruta v. County of San</i>	Court within 5 court-days of the Ninth Circuit issuing in Diego, Case No.: 10-56971 and Richards v. Prieto EDWARD M. CHEN
116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223	Plaintiffs are directed to file notice with this its final disposition in <i>Peruta v. County of San</i>	Court within 5 court-days of the Ninth Circuit issuing in Diego, Case No.: 10-56971 and Richards v. Prieto EDWARD M. CHEN
116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223 224	Plaintiffs are directed to file notice with this its final disposition in <i>Peruta v. County of San</i>	Court within 5 court-days of the Ninth Circuit issuing in Diego, Case No.: 10-56971 and Richards v. Prieto EDWARD M. CHEN
115 116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223 224 225 226	Plaintiffs are directed to file notice with this of its final disposition in <i>Peruta v.County of Sar (Yolo County)</i> , Case No.: 11-16255.	Court within 5 court-days of the Ninth Circuit issuing in Diego, Case No.: 10-56971 and Richards v. Prieto EDWARD M. CHEN
116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223 224 225	Plaintiffs are directed to file notice with this its final disposition in <i>Peruta v. County of San</i>	Court within 5 court-days of the Ninth Circuit issuing in Diego, Case No.: 10-56971 and Richards v. Prieto EDWARD M. CHEN
116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223 224 225 226	Plaintiffs are directed to file notice with this of its final disposition in <i>Peruta v.County of Sar (Yolo County)</i> , Case No.: 11-16255.	Court within 5 court-days of the Ninth Circuit issuing in Diego, Case No.: 10-56971 and Richards v. Prieto EDWARD M. CHEN